The Estimation of Nominal and Real Yield Curves from Government Bonds in Israel

Zvi Wiener and Helena Pompushko

The Estimation of Nominal and Real Yield Curves from Government Bonds in Israel

Zvi Wiener and Helena Pompushko

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors only, and do not necessarily represent those of the Bank of Israel.

Email: mswiener@mscc.huji.ac.il

helenap@boi.gov.il

The Estimation of Nominal and Real Yield Curves from Government Bonds in Israel

Zvi Wiener[1] and Helena Pompushko[2]

---

[1] School of Business Administration, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

[2] Monetary Department, Bank of Israel

The estimation of nominal and real yield curves

from government bonds in Israel

Abstract

We develop and test a mathematical method of deriving zero yield curve from market prices of government bonds. The method is based on a forward curve approximated by a linear (or piecewise constant) spline and should be applicable even for markets with low liquidity. The best fitting curve is derived by minimizing the penalty function. The penalty is defined as a sum of squared price discrepancies (theoretical curve based price minus market closing price) weighted by trade volume and an additional penalty for non-smoothness of the yield curve. The algorithm is applied to both nominal and CPI linked bonds traded in Israel (some segments of these markets have low liquidity). The resulting two yield curves can be used for derivation of market expected inflation rate. The main problems are low liquidity of some bonds and imperfect linkage to inflation in the CPI linked market. Use of forward curves as the state space for the minimization problem leads to a stable solution that fits the data very well and can be used for calculating forward rates.

תקציר

במאמר זה, אנו מפתחים ובוחנים שיטה נומרית לגזירת עקום ריביות אפס (ללא קופון) ממחירי השוק של אגרות חוב. השיטה מבוססת על עקום ריבית פורוורד בחישוב רציף. עקום הריבית הזה נבנה בשיטת spline והשיטה הזו ניתנת ליישום גם בשווקים שבהם רמת הנזילות נמוכה. עקום הריביות נבנה על ידי מציאת נקודת המינימום של פונקציית הקנס. פונקציית הקנס בנויה משני חלקים. החלק הראשון מייצג חוסר התאמה בין המחיר התיאורטי (המבוסס על עקום אפס) ומחירי שוק, עם משקולות המשקפות את רמת הנזילות של כל סדרה. החלק השני מייצג את חוסר החלקות של עקום הריביות.

אנו מיישמים את האלגוריתם בשוק הישראלי הן בעקום הריבית השקלית צמודת המדד והן בעקום הריבית השקלית הנומינלית. תוצאת האלגוריתם היא שתי עקומות ריבית המאפשרות גזירת ציפיות השוק לגבי האינפלציה העתידית. הבעיות המרכזיות איתן אנו מתמודדים הן רמת נזילות נמוכה בחלקים של השוק והצמדה לא מלאה באג״ח צמודות מדד.

השימוש בעקום הריביות העתידי כנעלם בבעיית האופטימיזציה מוביל לפתרון יציב עם התאמה טובה מול נתוני שוק. הוא גם מאפשר גזירה רציפה של ריביות spot ו-forward.

1. Introduction

The term structure of default free rates is a key element in economic and financial analysis that involves analyzing comparisons of payment streams over time. This term structure data is typically derived from traded government bonds. The term structure appropriate for discounting is based on the zero coupon equivalent yield. If the term structure is to be used in order to derive market expectations for specific time periods in the future, it should also allow for the smooth derivation of the corresponding forward yields. The task of deriving such a curve is much more complex in a relatively illiquid market with noisy data. This task is even further complicated when deriving the term structure of yields on CPI[3]-indexed bonds, due to the presence of indexation lags, as the CPI is announced once a month, on the 15th of the following month. This time delay means that indexation is only partial for the most recent calendar period. The combination of nominal and real forward rates contains information on market expectations of inflation. These rates reflect the basic discount factors used for pricing all fixed income securities.

There are several principal methods used for deriving the zero coupon yield curve. Many European central banks use the Nelson and Siegel (1987) method or its modification by Svensson (1994). However, the US, Japan and the United Kingdom use the "smoothing splines" method (see BIS paper no 25, Zero-coupon yield curves: technical documentation, October 2005). Many private companies supplying financial data also use variations of the spline method.

In this paper, we develop and test a robust algorithm that derives smooth zero yield curves from bond market data in Israel. The Israeli bond market is based on two main types of linkage – nominal bonds and CPI linked bonds. While the nominal bonds are relatively liquid, many segments of the CPI linked bond market suffer from low and time varying liquidity. The CPI linked yield curve is very important since in Israel significant parts of the economic activity (including many legal agreements) are linked to the CPI. The Bank of Israel uses the CPI curve for the derivation of market expected inflation. The zero-coupon yield curves are important input for all of the

---

[3] Consumer Price Index – the average level of consumer prices during the previous month which is reported on a monthly basis by the Central Bureau of Statistics.

above. The method described below calculates these curves in a smooth and robust manner.

By smooth we mean that one can derive forward rates from the same curve and get reasonable results, even if the market is not very liquid (infrequent trades, low volume and slow adjustment of prices). By robust we mean an algorithm that does not suddenly change shape from one day to another due to technical reasons. We also expect the shape of the yield curve to be stable, relative to the input data set. For example, when dropping one bond with a small volume of trade we should not expect a significant change in the whole term structure.

The advantage of using the zero-coupon yield curve is that it allows an immediate discount of every single future payment. The alternative that is often used is based on yield to maturity. This yield can be calculated much more easily, but its use is very limited, as it is appropriate only for a given schedule of payments and can not be used for any other cashflow, (which differs either in the coupon amounts or in timing). Thus, the yield to maturity of a coupon bond is not a good measure of the time value of money and it should be stripped before it is used for discounting. The derivation of forward rates is a mathematical procedure that requires taking the derivative of the term structure with respect to the time to maturity. Thus any non-smooth yield curve will lead to artificial jumps in the forward rates and consequently to incorrect conclusions regarding market expectations.

The liquidity of the Israeli government bond market is low in some segments (short term CPI linked bonds for example). Thus the market data is often noisy and can not be used without taking this into account.

We describe below an algorithm that overcomes these difficulties as it searches for the best fitted yield curve based on forward splines, where the fitting penalty takes into account the liquidity of each issue. Moreover, for the CPI linked bonds we break up the holding period into CPI linked and unlinked periods and discount each cashflow appropriately.

2. Government bonds in Israel

The Israeli government bond market has (at the end of 2005) a market capitalization of 262B NIS[4] with an average daily trade volume in 2005 of about 1.1B NIS. In comparison, the stock market in Israel has a market value of about 506B NIS with an average daily trade volume of 965M NIS, the corporate bond market has a value of 105B NIS with an average daily trade volume of about 250M NIS. Most institutional investors rely on the government bonds as the core of their portfolios. There are 4 main types of government bonds issued in the local market. They are named Makam, Shahar, Gilon, and Galil. The table below summarizes their main characteristics. The shekel dollar exchange rate in 2005 was around the level of 4.5 NIS = 1 USD.

Name	Original maturity	Linkage	Coupon	Outstanding notional	Average daily trade volume
Makam	Up to 1 year	Nominal	Zero coupon	NIS 71 B	NIS 585 M
Shahar	2-15 years	nominal	Fixed, annual	NIS 89 B	NIS 559 M
Gilon	2-10 years	nominal	Floating, annual	NIS 57 B	NIS 163 M
Galil	7-20 years	CPI-linked	Fixed, annual	NIS 114 B	NIS 351 M

---

[4] NIS stands for New Israeli Shekel which is the name of the Israeli currency, also referred to as the shekel.

3. Methods of calculating zero-coupon yield curves

There are three types of methods used for the estimation of a zero-coupon yield curve from bond market data. The first one is based on an assumption of a parametric form of a yield curve. The most popular version of this method was developed by Nelson and Siegel (1987). This method assumes that the instantaneous forward rates take the following functional form:

$$f^{NS}(t) = \beta_0 + \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}$$

Here β_0, β_1, and τ are parameters that determine the shape of the term structure. Although this functional form allows for several different shapes of the term structure, Svensson (1994) suggested a richer family with an additional term (and two more parameters).

$$f^{Sv}(t) = \beta_0 + \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right) \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} + \beta_3\frac{t}{\tau_2} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}}$$

This form allows for two humps and it includes the Nelson Siegel model as a special case (when β_3=0). The problem with the parametric methods like these is that the functional form assumed from mathematical convenience does not reflect the economic behavior of interest rates. Another problem is that a small change in a price of a long term bond can lead to a change of the short end of the derived zero-coupon yield curve for purely technical reasons. The third problem is that often the shape of the yield curve based on a parametric model is not robust and changes significantly after a relatively small change in prices.

The second method is based on bootstrapping and can be used for a very efficient market only, where prices are observed simultaneously; there is a variety of liquid bonds with different maturities covering the whole range of time. This method is not appropriate for a smaller market with few bonds, suffering from low liquidity.

The third method is based on splines (functions defined piecewise). This method was developed in Vasicek and Fong (1982), and Fisher, Nychka, and Zervos (1995). It is based either on a fixed or variable grid and an optimization procedure that minimizes some penalty functions.

The goal of this paper is to construct a robust algorithm for calculating the term structure of interest rates from market prices of traded government bonds. The

term structure we are looking for is a zero-coupon term structure that can be directly used for discount factor. Moreover, we would like to have as a result a smooth term structure. The trade off between better matching market prices, robustness and smoothness will be described below. The algorithm is implemented for both nominal and CPI linked sectors.

We use a fixed time grid, and interpolate forward rates between grid nodes using a simple linear function.[5] Then, for any given set of key forward rates one can calculate the theoretical prices and the degree of non-smoothness of the resulting curve. The minimization of these two penalties (with some weights) leads to the zero-coupon term structure of interest rates.

4. Data

The input of the model is based on the (almost) fixed data base of future cashflows that are reflected in the market price, the daily closing prices of government bonds, the volume of trade, and the anticipated inflation for the period between the last CPI report and the input date.

Due to low liquidity occasionally there are very noisy observations. We apply a filter that excludes any suspicious data, such as bonds that have negative yields, low trade volume, or very unusual price changes.

In order to identify unusual price changes, we analyze the history of each bond over 45-60 days, and calculate the canonical correlations of the past with the current change. This method uses the STATESPACE procedure of SAS and represents a stationary multivariate time series X(t) of dimensions R in the following form:

$$Z(t) = F \cdot Z(t-1) + G \cdot E(t) \text{ (AIC - Akaike's information criterion)}$$

Where Z(t) is the vector process of dimension S=R+1. The first R components of Z(t) are in fact X(t) and the last component is the prediction of X(t+1) based on the history. F is an S-by-S transition matrix, and G is an S-by-R matrix calculated by SAS. E(t) is an R-dimensional noise independent of X(t).

The procedure fits a sequence of vector autoregressive models using Yule-Walker equations and selects the order for which Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) is minimized (0.0001). After using this procedure, we have a residual for each price of

---

[5] The same method will work for other types of interpolation between nodes.

each bond. We calculate the average residual for this date over all bonds and compute the ratio of the actual residual of a bond to the average of all bonds on the same date. If the ratio is greater than 3, we ignore this observation.

Typically the daily set consists of 10-12 bills (Makam), 6-8 notes (Shahar), and 8-34 CPI-linked securities (Galil). The nominal bonds are almost always liquid, while CPI-linked bonds are less liquid, especially those with a time to maturity of up to two years. The CPI –linked bonds also have big price fluctuations (probably also due to illiquidity).

5. Description of the algorithm for the nominal yield curve

For the nominal yield curve we use the following time grid:
$(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_N) = (0, 3M, 6M, 9M, 1Y, 2Y, 3Y, 5Y, 7Y, 10Y)$. Define the yet unknown vector of forward rates by $(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N)$. Interpolate the forward rate linearly between the grid nodes by using linear interpolation. For $T_i < t < T_{i+1}$ we have

(1)
$$f(t) = \frac{T_{i+1} - t}{T_{i+1} - T_i} F_i + \frac{t - T_i}{T_{i+1} - T_i} F_{i+1}$$

An example of a graph showing piecewise linear interpolation between the nodes of the fixed grid is provided below, in figure 1. This graph is based on the following forward rates:

F=(3.58%,3.84%,4.09%,4.32%,4.54%,5.53%,6.67%,8.82%,8.91%,6.82%).

The forward rates are shown as represented by the (red) dots connected by the thin line and the spot curve is represented by the smoother, thicker (blue) line. The graph demonstrates the way that the spot curve is smooth, even when the curve of the forward rates is not.

Figure 1. Example of forward (thin) and spot (thick) zero-coupon curves as a function of maturity.

For this forward curve one can calculate the whole yield curve of zero-coupon interest rates by using the following formula (here $f(\tau)$ are interpolated forward rates) as explained in Appendix B:

$$(2) \qquad r(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s f(\tau)d\tau$$

Then, using this yield curve, one can find a theoretical price for every bond and compare the resulting prices with the actual prices on the Tel Aviv stock exchange. For each bond there will be some difference between the actual and predicted price. We use the sum of squares of all of these differences with weights as a first penalty function *P(F)*.

We use the relative volume of trade as weights for the procedure. This automatically gives a higher weight to a difference between the actual and expected price for a bond with high liquidity. In fact we do not have to calculate the spot curve from the forward rates and then price all of the bonds theoretically. We price each bond from the forward curve directly using the following formula for a present value of one SHEKEL paid at time s:

$$(3) \qquad B(s) = \exp\left(-\int_0^s f(\tau)d\tau\right)$$

By working with fixed coupons, we can immediately price each traded bond by summing up its discounted cash flow. The total penalty of the first type is defined as:

$$(4) \qquad P(F) = \sum_{bonds} \frac{trade\,volume_i}{total\,trade\,volume} \left(price_i(F) - market\,price_i \right)^2$$

The second type of penalty is a penalty for a non-smoothness of the forward curve. It is defined as

$$(5) \qquad Q(F) = \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \left(\frac{F_{i+1} - F_i}{T_{i+1} - T_i} - \frac{F_i - F_{i-1}}{T_i - T_{i-1}} \right)^2$$

The formula above calculates the difference in slopes of the forward curve at each pair of consecutive segments as shown in the figure below.


Figure 2. The non-smoothness penalty Q(F)

In other words, this penalty measures something similar to the second derivative or degree of non-smoothness in the forward curve. One can introduce weights for different time intervals. For example, one can demand more smoothness in the short segment or in the long segment of the term structure. In the current algorithm all weights are the same, but in fact the grid nodes are denser in the short part of the term structure, where the lack of smoothness typically takes place.

For each hypothetical tem structure (vector F) we have two types of penalties. The total penalty is defined as

$$(6) \qquad P(F) + w \cdot Q(F)$$

Notice that the parameter w reflects the relative importance of smoothness versus price matching. A higher w corresponds to a higher importance of smoothness and a lower w corresponds to a higher importance of better price matching. Based on a set

of experiments we have chosen the value of w to be equal 1, as this leads to a good balance of price matching and smoothness.

Now we perform a multidimensional optimization procedure in which we search for an "optimal" term structure that matches the two criteria simultaneously by minimizing the expression (6) over all admissible forward yield curves. A result of this minimization is a forward rate vector F. As soon as the optimal forward curve is found, one can calculate the spot curve, using (2). This gives the resulting nominal zero-coupon yield curve.

We observed several cases in which the optimization procedure is not well defined due to a high ratio of the biggest and the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix of second derivatives. In such a case we recommend using the conjugate gradient instead of the method of steepest descent (the gradient method).

6. CPI linked bonds

The CPI linked government bonds provide only an approximate indexation due to the fact that the CPI index is measured discretely with a time delay. In fact the "known index" is used each time that a payment occurs. This index is the one that was measured on most recent 15-th day of a month and it reflects the average price level during the month that ended 15 days earlier. For example, if a payment takes place on May 31, the index that was announced on May 15 will be used. This index corresponds to the average price level during the month of April. This creates a delay of approximately a month and a half.

A similar situation exists when we need to price a linked bond on, say May 10. Then the last reported index was announced on April 15 and it corresponds to the average price level of March. This can create a delay of up to two months.

In general, at any date one can divide the indexation to three areas *a, b, c* as described in Figure 3 below. Here *t* is today, *c* is the payment date, *b* is the last CPI-linkage (i.e. payment at *c* will be linked to price changes up to *b*). Currently (at time t) the CPI index is officially known up to time *a*. The change of CPI between *a* and *b* will affect the payment but is not released yet and should be estimated, the CPI change between *b* and *c* will not affect the payment at *c* (but may affect later payments of the same security).

Figure 3. CPI indexation

One can calculate the present value of a single CPI indexed payment in two equivalent ways. First, as an expected nominal payment which is discounted at the nominal interest rate. In this case we have:

$$(7) \qquad PV = A \cdot E\left\{\frac{CPI(t)}{CPI(a)}\frac{CPI(b)}{CPI(t)}\right\}e^{-(b+c)\cdot r(b+c)},$$

where A is the accumulated CPI index till time a. Here $r(b+c)$ is the zero nominal yield to time $(b+c)$ calculated by the algorithm described above. The equation (7) can be used to derive the expected price level at time b from the bond prices directly.

On the other hand, by discounting the CPI-linked payment at real interest rates, the payment can be valued in the following form:

$$PV = A \cdot E\left\{\frac{CPI(t)}{CPI(a)}\right\}e^{-b\cdot R(b)}e^{-c\cdot f(b,b+c)} =$$

$$(8)$$

$$= \frac{CPI(a)}{CPI(0)} \cdot \frac{CPI(a+1M)}{CPI(a)}\left(\frac{CPI(a+2M)}{CPI(a)}\right)^{\frac{Ndays}{31}}e^{-b\cdot R(b)}e^{-\int\limits_{b}^{c}f(s)ds}$$

here $R(b)$ is the current zero-coupon real interest rate at time b and $f(b, b+c)$ is the current nominal forward interest rate for the period starting at b and ending at the time of actual payment $b+c$. Assuming that we have a reliable estimate of $CPI(t,)$ one can use equation (8) in order to derive the real interest rates from the prices of traded linked bonds.

Similarly to the unlinked bonds, we will use forward rates as a basis for a search for a real zero-coupon yield curve that reflects the observed prices and remains smooth enough. Notice that sometimes the payment is so close that b occurs before t, like in the figure below.


Figure 4. Another possibility of a partial indexation schedule

In this case we should change the pricing formula (8) to

$$\text{(8')} \qquad PV = A \cdot E\left\{\frac{CPI(b)}{CPI(a)}\right\} e^{-(c-t)\cdot r(c)} = A \cdot E\left\{\frac{CPI(b)}{CPI(a)}\right\} \cdot e^{-\int_{t}^{c} f(s)ds}$$

Notice that this can occur for a single payment of a bond. Here r is the nominal interest rate and *CPI(b)* is the estimated CPI index at date *b*. This means that at each date on which we wish to derive the yield curve, we use the estimated CPI index *CPI(t)* and the estimated CPI index for the last linkage date *CPI(b)* (typically the 15-th of last month). For example on August 3, 2005 we need to use an estimate of *CPI(03-Aug-05)* and estimate of *CPI(15-Jul-05)*. This means that we have to use the two estimates of the CPI by economists as input, one for the month after *a* and another for the month thereafter.

7. CPI linked yield curve, algorithm

The same time grid that we used for calculating the nominal rate is used for calculating the CPI linked yield curve, however, we included only the points on the grid which are one year or longer: (0, 1Y, 2Y, 3Y, 5Y, 7Y, 10Y, 15Y, 20Y). The reason for this is that there are very few CPI linked bonds with a time to maturity of less than one year.

Assume that we have already found the nominal yield curve (including forward rates) as described above. We will choose the same grid for the CPI linked yield curve.[6] Again, define the two penalty functions P_{CPI} and Q_{CPI} so that P_{CPI} measures the cumulative price discrepancy and Q_{CPI} measures the total degree of non-smoothness.

As in (6), the total penalty is defined as

(9) $\qquad P_{CPI}(F_{CPI}) + w_{CPI} \cdot Q_{CPI}(F_{CPI})$

A similar multi-dimensional minimization procedure[7] is used to derive the real forward rates. The resulting real zero coupon yield curve is constructed based on forward rates (again linearly interpolated between grid nodes).

After a series of tests we conclude that a good balance of smoothness and price matching can be reached with w_{CPI}=1.

8. Examples of the yield curves

We provide below a few examples of the algorithm for the market data on selected dates. The figure below shows the yields to maturity of the Galil (green dots), the forward rates (thin piecewise linear black line), and the resulting real zero coupon yield curve (thick blue line). All this is based on data from 30-Dec-04 (Figure 5) and 26-Jan-06 (Figure 6) using the weight of $w_{CPI}=1$.

---

[6] Note that in reality there are very long CPI linked bonds (till 2024) and we do not have such a long nominal yield curve. We ignored all real bonds with more than $T_N=10$ years to maturity, unless longer nominal bonds are available.

[7] Part of the problem here is that we minimize a function with a not well-defined matrix of second derivatives. This takes place when some types of change lead to a very fast change of penalty, while others lead to a much slower change. There are mathematical methods, like conjugate gradient method that make the convergence significantly faster.

Figure 5. Forward (thin) and spot (thick) curves on 30-Dec-04 versus the naïve yield on government CPI linked bonds.


Figure 6. Forward (thin) and spot (thick) curves on 26-Jan-06 versus the naïve yield on government CPI linked bonds.

9. Conclusions

We described the algorithm for the calculation of nominal and real zero coupon yield curves based on the market prices of actively traded government bonds. The algorithm was checked on a set of test dates. The results are stable in the nominal sector (where most securities have large volumes of trade) and less stable in the CPI linked sector (where there are many similar securities, but the volume of trade is significantly lower). We did not treat the problem of differential taxation.

The methods described above were used for the time interval between 2002 and 2005. The results are summarized in the table below.

Nominal market

Year	Average penalty P(F)	Average penalty Q(F)	Average maximal price discrepancy[8]	Maximal[9] penalty P(F)	Maximal penalty Q(F)
2000	0.00024	0.000015	0.00161	0.000241	0.000017
2001	0.00016	0.000045	0.00109	0.000156	0.000104
2002	0.00059	0.000116	0.00217	0.000592	0.000142
2003	0.00035	0.000032	0.00190	0.000352	0.000025
2004	0.000084	0.000014	0.00106	0.000084	0.000003
2005	0.000072	0.000005	0.00082	0.000071	0.000007

CPI-linked market

Year	Average penalty P(F)	Average penalty Q(F)	Average maximal price discrepancy[10]	Maximal[11] penalty P(F)	Maximal penalty Q(F)
2000	0.00957	0.000000	0.0410	0.0178	0.00110
2001	0.00488	0.000000	0.0311	0.0108	0.00021
2002	0.00678	0.000079	0.0316	0.0144	0.00063

---

[8] We take the maximal price discrepancy in percents each business day and take the average of this number over the year.
[9] Maximal daily penalty over a specific year.
[10] We take the maximal price discrepancy in percents each business day and take the average of this number over the year.
[11] Maximal daily penalty over a specific year.

2003	0.00309	0.000062	0.0243	0.0077	0.00013
2004	0.00118	0.000015	0.0123	0.0018	0.00006
2005	0.00071	0.000007	0.0094	0.0009	0.00004

Based on these results, the monetary department of the Bank of Israel switched over to this new method of defining the zero-coupon yield curve for the purpose of inflation forecast. Similar algorithms are used by many companies world-wide, like RiskMetrics (www.riskmetrics.com), Kamakura (www.kamakuraco.com), and Episolutions (www.episolutionsinc.com).

References

Andersen Leif, Yield Curve Construction with Tension Splines, working paper, December 2005, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=871088

Anderson, N., and J. Sleath, "New estimates of the UK real and nominal yield curves",
Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin November 1999, 384-392.

Anderson N, and J. Sleath, New Estimates of the UK real and nominal yield curves.
http://ideas.uqam.ca/ideas/data/Papers/boeboeewp126.html or
http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/qb/qb990403.pdf

Bank of International Settlements, "Zero-Coupon Yield Curves: Technical Documentation", March 1999.

Bank of International Settlements, "Zero-Coupon Yield Curves: Technical Documentation", Monetary and Economic Department, October 2005.

Brousseau, V. (2002). The functional form of yield curves, Working Paper 148, European Central Bank.

Deacon, M., Derry, A., Estimating the Term Structure of Interest Rates, Bank of England, 1994.

Deriving and Fitting Interest Rate Curves Using MATLAB® and the Financial Toolbox, Financial Engineering Technical Brief, www.mathworks.com

Evans, M., Real Rates, Expected Inflation and Inflation Risk Premia, Journal of Finance, 53(1), 1998, 187-218.

Fisher, M., D. Nychka, and D .Zervos, "Fitting the Term Structure of Interest Rates with
Smoothing Splines," Working Paper 95-1, Finance and Economics Discussion Series, Federal Reserve Board, 1995.

Fisher M., and D. Zervos, Yield Curve, in Computational Economics and Finance, ed. H. Varian, Springer 1996, p. 269-302.

Gerlach, Stefan, "Interpreting the Term Structure of Interbank Rates in Hong Kong". Hong Kong Institute for Monetary Research Working Paper,No.14/2001,December.

Hagan, P., and G. West, "Interpolation methods for yield curve construction", unpublished manuscript, November 2004.

Hull J., Options, Futures and Other Derivatives, Prentice Hall, 4-th ed., 2000, p. 87-95.

Indian term structure, http://www.indiainfoline.com/bisc/iimappr2.html

Ioannides, M., A comparison of yield curve estimation techniques using UK data, Journal of Banking and Finance, 27 (2003) 1–26

Maltz, A., Estimation of zero-coupon curves in DataMetrics, RiskMetrics Journal, 3 (1), 2002, 27-39.

Monetary Authority of Singapore, "The Term Structure of Interest Rates, Inflationary Expectations and Economic Activity: Some Recent US Evidence", Occasional Paper No.12, May 1999.

Nelson, C.R., and A.F.Siegel, "Parsimonious Modelling of Yield Curves", Journal of Business, 60, pp. 473-89, 1987.

Svensson, L.E.O., "Estimating and interpreting forward interest rates: Sweden 1992-1994", NBER Working Paper Series, No.4871 (1994).

Svensson, L.E.O., "Estimating Forward Interest Rates with the Extended Nelson & Siegel
Method", Quarterly Review, Sveriges Riksbank, 1995:3, 13-26.

Vasicek, O.A., and H.G. Fong (1982), "Term Structure Modeling Using Exponential Splines", Journal of Finance, 37:2, p. 339-348.

Waggoner, D., Spline Methods for Extracting Interest Rate Curves from Coupon Bond Prices, Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Working Paper 97-10, November 1997
http://mscf.gsia.cmu.edu/BPM/WaggonerSpline.pdf

Wets, R., S. Bianchi, and L. Yang, Serious Zero-Curves, manuscript, available at www.episolutionsinc.com.

Yu, I., and Fung, L., Estimation of Zero-Coupon yield curves based on exchange fund bills and notes in Hong Kong, Hong Kong Monetary Authority, 2002

Zangari, P. (1997). An investigation into term structure estimation methods for RiskMetrics, RiskMetrics Monitor pp. 3–31.

Appendix A. Discrete and continuous discounting

According to the Monetary Department of the Bank of Israel, the discrete discounting method used in Israel is based on the following formula:

(A1)
$$\Pr ice = \sum_{payments} \frac{C_i}{(1+y)^{\frac{D_i}{365}}} = \sum_{coupons} \frac{(1+c)^{\frac{D_i}{365}}-1}{(1+y)^{\frac{D_i}{365}}} + \frac{1}{(1+y)^{\frac{D_T}{365}}},$$

where c is the coupon rate, D_i is the number of days between the current date and the coupon payment. This is the act/365 method and the actual coupon payments are bigger in leap years.

This means that the continuously compounded zero-coupon yield based on 365 days in a year can be translated to discrete yield according to:

(A2)
$$e^{-\frac{D}{365}y_c} = \frac{1}{(1+y_d)^{\frac{D}{365}}}$$

or equivalently

(A3)
$$y_c = \ln(1+y_d)$$

and the final formula for translating continuously compounded yield into daily yield is:

(A4)
$$y_d = e^{y_c} - 1.$$

Appendix B. Forward rates

Definitions:

Denote by t – current time (date) and by $B(s)$ price of a zero coupon bond maturing at s. Then using continuous discounting we can define the zero-coupon yield curve as $B(s) = e^{-sr(s)}$, or equivalently $r(s) = -\frac{\ln(B(s))}{s}$.

As soon as the whole term structure of interest rates is known, one can derive the implied forward rates by using the following algorithm:

Denote by r(t) the zero coupon continuously compounded interest rate. Then a forward loan (of size 1) starting at S and maturing at T can be valued as follows:

(B1)
$$0 = 1 \cdot e^{-r(S)S} - x \cdot e^{-r(T)T}$$

thus $x = e^{r(T)T - r(S)S}$, this means that the continuously compounded interest on this loan is

$e^{r(T)T - r(S)S} = e^{(T-S)*y}$ and (see also formula 4.1 in Hull) the forward rate between S and T:

(B2)
$$y(S,T) = \frac{r(T)T - r(S)S}{T - S}$$

Note that this formula is very similar to differentiation and the fact that we use a piecewise linear interpolation will lead to artificial jumps near our grid points. For example, if the two years zero coupon rate r(2)=10% and a similar 3 years rate is r(3)=10.75%, the corresponding forward rate is y(2,3)=12.25%.

An instantaneous forward rate can be derived when the two dates S and T are very close. In order to derive the limit rate when T->S we can use the L'Hopitale rule (see Hull p. 95):

(B3)
$$\underset{T \to S}{Lim} \frac{r(T)T - r(S)S}{T - S} = \underset{\Delta \to 0}{Lim} \frac{r(S+\Delta)(S+\Delta) - r(S)S}{\Delta} = r(S) + S \cdot \frac{\partial r(S)}{\partial S}$$

The instantaneous forward rate is

(B4)
$$f(S) = r(S) + S \cdot \frac{\partial r(S)}{\partial S}$$

Note that the discounting can be done easily with the forward rates. The present value of 1 paid at time T is

(B5)
$$B(T) = \exp\left(-\int_0^T f(s)ds \right).$$

Appendix C. Example of a difference between naive and correct rates

Due to the special features of CPI linked bonds there can be a significant difference between naive and properly calculated interest rates. To demonstrate such an example, see the following Figure 7, where the green points represent the naively calculated yields on Galil and the thick blue line shows the calculated CPI linked zero coupon curve as of 18-May-2003. It seems that the calculated yield curve is too low (at least for long time horizon). However when looking at the price match one can see that the differences between actual and predicted prices are distributed around zero. To explain this phenomenon let us consider the following example.

Figure 7. Example of misleading appearance.

Consider a CPI linked bond issued today without coupons. It will pay 100 CPI linked shekels at time T. However the linkage to CPI is until time b only. Typically T-b is about 1-1.5 months. Then the correct formula for continuously compounded yield is

(C1) $$p = 100 \cdot e^{-R \cdot b} e^{-f \cdot (T-b)}$$

Here p is the current price of the bond, R is the continuously compounded CPI linked zero coupon yield for maturity b, f is the nominal forward rate starting at t and ending at T. The formula for R through price becomes:

(C2) $$R = \frac{1}{b}\left(Log\left(\frac{100}{p}\right) - f(T-b) \right)$$

The naive formula for yield would be

(C3) $$p = 100 \cdot e^{-r \cdot T}$$

And the corresponding naive yield is

(C4) $$r = \frac{1}{T} Log\left(\frac{100}{p}\right)$$

Let's use some numbers to see the difference. Assume that b = 1 year, T = 1 year and 1 month, and the forward nominal rate f = 10%, and price p = 93. Then we get

(C5) R = 6.4%,

(C6) r = 6.7%,

The difference is similar to what we get on Figure 7.

Monetary Studies	<div dir="rtl">עיונים מוניטריים</div>

1999.01	<div dir="rtl">א׳ אזולאי, ד׳ אלקיים – מודל לבחינת ההשפעה של המדיניות המוניטרית על האינפלציה בישראל, 1988 עד 1996</div>
1999.02	<div dir="rtl">ד׳ אלקיים, מ׳ סוקולר – השערת הניטרליות של שיעור האבטלה ביחס לאינפלציה בישראל – בחינה אמפירית, 1990 עד 1998</div>
2000.01	M. Beenstock, O. Sulla – The Shekel's Fundamental Real Value
2000.02	O. Sulla, M. Ben-Horin –Analysis of Casual Relations and Long and Short-term Correspondence between Share Indices in Israel and the United States
2000.03	Y. Elashvili, M. Sokoler, Z. Wiener, D. Yariv – A Guaranteed-return Contract for Pension Funds' Investments in the Capital Market
2000.04	<div dir="rtl">י׳ אלאשווילי, צ׳ וינר, ד׳ יריב, מ׳ סוקולר – חוזה להבטחת תשואת רצפה לקופות פנסיה תוך כדי הפנייתן להשקעות בשוק ההון</div>
2001.01	<div dir="rtl">ד׳ אלקיים – יעד האינפלציה והמדיניות המוניטרית – מודל לניתוח ולחיזוי</div>
2001.02	<div dir="rtl">ע׳ אופנבכר, ס׳ ברק – דיסאינפלציה ויחס ההקרבה : מדינות מפותחות מול מדינות מתעוררות</div>
2001.03	D. Elkayam – A Model for Monetary Policy Under Inflation Targeting: The Case of Israel
2002.01	<div dir="rtl">ד׳ אלקיים, מ׳ רגב, י׳ אלאשווילי – אמידת פער התוצר ובחינת השפעתו על האינפלציה בישראל בשנים האחרונות</div>
2002.02	<div dir="rtl">ר׳ שטיין – אמידת שער החליפין הצפוי באמצעות אופציות Forward על שער ה-Call</div>
2003.01	<div dir="rtl">ר׳ אלדור, ש׳ האוזר, מ׳ קהן, א׳ קמרה – מחיר אי-הסחירות של חוזים עתידיים (בשיתוף הרשות לניירות ערך)</div>
2003.02	R. Stein - Estimation of Expected Exchange-Rate Change Using Forward Call Options
2003.03	<div dir="rtl">ר׳ שטיין, י׳ הכט – אמידת ההתפלגות הצפויה של שער החליפין שקל-דולר הגלומה במחירי האופציות</div>
2003.04	D. Elkayam – The Long Road from Adjustable Peg to Flexible Exchange Rate Regimes: The Case of Israel
2003.05	R. Stein, Y. Hecht – Distribution of the Exchange Rate Implicit in Option Prices: Application to TASE
2004.01	<div dir="rtl">א׳ ארגוב – מודל לחיזוי הגירעון המקומי של הממשלה</div>

2004.02	יי הכט, וה׳ פומפושקו – נורמליות, רמת סיכון שכיחה ושינוי חריג בשער החליפין
2004.03	D.Elkayam ,A.Ilek – The Information Content of Inflationary Expectations Derived from Bond Prices in Israel
2004.04	ר. שטיין – ההתפלגות הצפויה של שער החליפין שקל-דולר, התפלגות א-פרמטרית הגלומה באופציות מטבע חוץ
2005.01	Y. Hecht, H. Pompushko – Normality, Modal Risk Level, and Exchange-Rate Jumps
2005.02	יי אלאשווילי, מ׳ רגב – גזירת הציפיות לאינפלציה משוק ההון
2005.03	א׳ ארגוב – כלל ריבית אופטימלי למודל מוניטרי של המשק הישראלי
2005.04	M.Beenstock, A.Ilek – Wicksell's Classical Dichotomy: Is the Natural Rate of Interest Independent of the Money Rate of Interest ?
2006.01	יי הכט וה׳ פומפושקו - RND
2006.02	ד׳ אלקיים, א׳ ארגוב – ניסוח ואמידה של מודל ניאו-קיינסיאני למשק קטן ופתוח, יישום למשק הישראלי
2006.03	Z.Wiener, H.Pompushko- The Estimation of Nominal and Real Yield Curves from Government Bonds in Israel